Statementon Russianbounty Intelligence provided to The New York Times by a National Security Council bookes are sent to the New York Times by a National I

- I'mnotgoingto speakfor the previous administration. What can say is that we felt therewas enoughteausefor concerrbased on the intelligence that Russianeets to provide an exphanistion.
- The United States Intelligence Community assesses with low to moderate on fidence that Russian intelligence officers sought to encourage Taliban attacks against U.S. and coalition personne in Afghanistanin 2019, and perhaps earlier, including through financial incentives and compensation.
- U.S. Intelligence communitagencies have low to moderate confidence in this judgment imparts ecause it relies on detainer apporting and due to the challenging operating environment of Afghanistian.
- Our conclusions based on informationand evidence of connections between criminal agents in Afghanista and element of the Russian Government.
- We cannot confirm that the operation resulted in any attacks on U.S. or Coalition forces.
- We assess that a General Staff Main Intelligence Directoral (GRU) unitmanged interaction with individual in Afgharon in inhetworks The United States has high conflict of this assessment because we have independent world the ties of several individual in this network to Russia.
- Multiplesources have confirmed that elements of this criminal network worked for Russian intelligence for over a decade and traveller to Moscow in April 2019.
- We have a strong body of evidence of the activities of this GRU unit This GRU unit has been involve in other nethinous operation around the world agains our allies and partner; and lidition plotto violently disrup Montaege or legislative ection in 2016, the attemportus assassination former GRU officer begge valuination in 2018, and assassination accessed.
- The involvement of this GRU unitis consistent with Russia encouraging attacks against
 U.S. and coalitie personer in Afghanian given its leading sole in such letter and
 destabling operation abroad.
- The safet and well-being of U.S. militar personnes and that of our Allies and partners, is a matter of absolute highes U.S. National securit interest our menand women in uniform have defended but so unity from harmand promote durinterest and values around the world and will continue to do so.

- Fightingterrorismand bringing peace to Afghanistanhas been a top national security priority for almost a generation of U.S. military personnel, and far too many have made the ultimates acrifice. These were risks inherent to our mission in Afghanistan following the 9/11 terrorist attacks. Their losses are not forgotten.
- But what we cannot and will not accept is the targetting of our personner by any elements including a foreign state actor.
- This informatioputs the burden on the Russian government to explaint sections and takesteps to address this disturbing patter of behavior we do not have evidence that the Krantili directed this operation but we call on the Russian government to explaint self.
- The Biden Administration is identically be transpared with the American people about what we know, and vital that we consult with our Allies and partner who were patential baseled as well about what we have uncovered.